

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	' FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/598,256	06/21/2000	Akihiro Miyazaki	2000-0761A	7312
75	90 04/22/2004		EXAM	INER
Wenderoth Lin	nd & Ponack LLP	TSEGAYE, SABA		
Suite 800 2033 K Street N W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			2662	0
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	4 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Andreading No.	A1:4/-)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	09/598,256	MIYAZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Saba Tsegaye	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ma	<u>arch 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 41-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 41-54 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceeding the applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to be corrected.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be in the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provided the priority of the since as a specific reference was included in the firs the since as a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority of the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority documents and the priority documents application from the priority documents application from the since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority documents are priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification or visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.6	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/598,256

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is exceed 150 words in length.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 51:

Line 7, it is not clear whether "a compressed packet" refers to the same "a compressed packet" cited in line 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/598,256

Art Unit: 2662

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 41, 44, 48 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Cugnini et al. (4,602,381) in view of Geiger (US 5,701,302).

Cugnini discloses a radiobroadcasting system that transmits both the usual difference signal and a compressed version of the difference signal to one or more remote receivers. At the receiver the usual, unchanged, difference signal is used as a reference signal for controlling the expansion of the compressed difference signal. The availability of the usual uncompressed difference signal at receiver enables the adaptive decoding of dynamic parameters of the received signal so that all of the parameters of the original signal can be restored automatically.

However, Cugnini does not expressly disclose that the radio broadcasting system uses a radio packet system.

Geger teaches a method and apparatus for adaptively compressing (Fig. 5) and expanding (Fig. 6) radio data packets in a data communication system.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a radio packet, such as that suggested by Geger in the system of Cugnini in order to provide a packet transmission scheme that operates in limited bandwidth environment.

7. Claims 42, 43, 45-47, 49, 50 and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cugnini in view of Geger as applied to claims 41, 44, 48 and 51 above, and further in view of The Admitted Prior Art (page 11).

Application/Control Number: 09/598,256

Art Unit: 2662

Cugnini in view of Geger discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for: a notification indicating an occurrence of a restoration error and transmitting the uncompressed packet in response to the notification (as in claims 43, 47,50 and 54); discard only an error packet when a restoration error occurs (as in claims 46 and 53); and continuously transmitting the uncompressed packet containing the same transmission data a plurality times (as in claims 42, 45, 49 and 52).

Regarding claims 43, 46, 47, 50, 53 and 54, The Admitted Prior Art teaches a notification indicating an occurrence of a restoration error and transmitting the uncompressed packet in response to the notification. Further, The Admitted Prior Art teaches that all the all of the compressed packets are discarded when a restoration error occurs.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that transmits the uncompressed packet in response to the notification which indicates an occurrence of a restoration error and discard an error packet, such as that suggested by The Admitted Prior Art, in the method of Cugnini in view of Geger in order to provide error recovery and enhance accuracy.

Regarding claims 42, 45, 49 and 52, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that transmits continuously uncompressed packet containing the same transmission data in the method of Cugnini in view of Geger in order to enhance accuracy.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/598,256

Art Unit: 2662

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kozdon et al. (US 6,456,618) discloses a method and apparatus for DTM signaling on compressed voice networks.

Chou (US 5,850,526) discloses a low-level compression in a local area network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (703) 308-4754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (703) 305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST April 15, 2004

> JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER